- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- MOTHERWELL, W. D. S. & CLEGG. W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for plotting crystal and molecular structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- North, P. P., Steiner, E. C., VAN REMOORTERE, F. P. & BOER, F. P. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 370-376.
- REMOORTERE, F. P. VAN & BOER, F. P. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 2071-2078.

ROBERTS, P. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1975). XANADU. Program for crystallographic calculations. Univ. of Cambridge, England. SHANNON, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A 32, 751–767.

SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal

structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRÜGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.

73

- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1987a). Acta Cryst. C43, 1076–1080.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1987b). Acta Cryst. C43, 1080–1083.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1987c). Acta Cryst. C43, 1270–1274.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1987*d*). *Acta Cryst*. C**43**, 1274–1278.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCO, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1987e). Acta Cryst. C43, 1279–1281.
- YANAGIDA, S., TAKAHASHI, K. & OKAHARA, M. (1978). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 51, 3111-3120.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 73-77

Crown Ether Complexes of Alkaline-Earth Metal Ions. II. Structures of 1,4,7,10,13-Pentaoxacyclopentadecane (15-Crown-5) Complexed with Calcium and Magnesium Thiocyanates

BY YUN YI WEI, BERNARD TINANT, JEAN-PAUL DECLERCQ AND MAURICE VAN MEERSSCHE

Laboratoire de Chimie physique et de Cristallographie, Université de Louvain, Bâtiment Lavoisier, place Louis Pasteur 1, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

AND JOHANNES DALE

Kjemisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Oslo 3, Norway

(Received 7 April 1987; accepted 15 September 1987)

Abstract. (1): 1,4,7,10,13-Pentaoxacyclopentadecanecalcium thiocyanate monohydrate, C10H20O5.Ca- $(SCN)_{2}H_{2}O, M_{r} = 394.52, monoclinic, P2_{1}/c, a =$ 15.515(4), b = 8.574(3), c = 14.282(3) Å, $\beta =$ V = 1857.5 (9) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x =$ $102 \cdot 12 (2)^{\circ}$, 1.41 g cm⁻³, λ (Mo Ka) = 0.71069 Å, μ = 5.70 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 832, T = 291 K, R = 0.037 for 2352 observed reflections. (2): 1,4,7,10,13-Pentaoxacyclothiocyanate, C₁₀H₂₀O₅.pentadecane-magnesium $Mg(SCN)_2$, $M_r = 360.74$, triclinic, P1, a = 16.037 (8), b = 7.161 (4), c = 8.415 (6) Å, $\alpha = 105.26$ (5), $\beta =$ 74.67 (5), $\gamma = 103.61$ (4)°, V = 884.8 (8) Å³, Z = 2, $\lambda(Mo K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å},$ $\mu =$ $D_{\rm r} = 1.35 {\rm g cm^{-3}},$ 3.52 cm^{-1} , F(000) = 380, T = 291 K, R = 0.093 for2838 observed reflections. The two complexes are different; only the smaller Mg²⁺ cation fits into the cavity of the macrocycle. In (1) the eightfold coordination of the Ca²⁺ cation includes the five ether O atoms, two thiocyanate N and the O of the water molecule; an unusual triangular conformation of the macrocycle is observed. For the Mg²⁺ complex, the coordination polyhedron is a regular pentagonal bipyramid in both independent complex subunits. The two 15-crown-5 rings have different conformations, both flattened and difficult to classify.

Introduction. As part of a systematic analysis of the structures of crown ethers complexed with alkalineearth thiocyanates (Wei, Tinant, Declercq, Van Meerssche & Dale, 1988*a*) we report here the structures of two new 15-crown-5 compounds: the monohydrated $C_{10}H_{20}O_5$.Ca(SCN)₂ (1) and the anhydrous $C_{10}H_{20}O_5$.Mg(SCN)₂ (2) complexes.

Experimental. Crystals obtained by slow evaporation from acetone/acetonitrile 1:1 (1), water (2). Crystal sizes: (1) $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.20$, (2) $0.54 \times 0.85 \times$ 0.73 mm. Lattice parameters refined using 15 reflections in the range $5 \le 2\theta \le 25^{\circ}$. Syntex $P2_1$ diffractometer, graphite-monochromatized Mo K α radiation, ω scan, scan width 1.3 (1), 1.5° (2), scan rate 1.3-30 (1), $1.5-30^{\circ}$ min⁻¹ (2), $[(\sin\theta)/\lambda]_{max} =$ 0.561 (1), 0.650 Å⁻¹ (2). For (1) 2744 independent $hk\pm l$ reflections measured ($0 \le h \le 17$, $0 \le k \le 9$, $-16 \le l \le 15$), 2352 observed [criterion $I \ge 2.5\sigma(I)$]. For (2) 4063 $h\pm k\pm l$ measured ($0 \le h \le 20$,

0108-2701/88/010073-05\$03.00

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

 $-9 \le k \le 8$, $-9 \le l \le 10$), 2838 observed. Standard reflections $5\overline{3}\overline{2}$ (1) and 231 (2) checked every 50 reflections: no significant deviation. No correction for absorption. Structure solution: position of the Ca cation (1), Mg and S atoms (2) from an $E \times F$ Patterson map (SHELXS86, Sheldrick, 1985); the remaining non-H atoms from DIRDIF (Beurskens, Bosman, Doesburg, Gould. Van den Hark, Prick, Noordik, Beurskens & Parthasarathi, 1981) for (1), difference Fourier synthesis (SHELX76, Sheldrick, 1976) for (2). Attempts to refine (2) in $P\overline{1}$ were unsuccessful: the Mg²⁺ and thiocyanate ions and even the O atoms of the crowns are perfectly related by an inversion centre. On the other hand, during the centrosymmetric trials two distinct positions appeared for the atoms C(3) and C(10) and high temperature factors were observed for the other C atoms. This could be due to a positional disorder, in which case the same duplication should appear in P1. In fact, in this space group only one position was detected for C(3) and C(10) in the two independent molecules and for this reason P1 was definitely selected as the correct space group. Anisotropic least-squares refinement (SHELX76) using F. (1): all H atoms located from a difference Fourier synthesis, refined with common isotropic temperature factor; (2): all H atoms included in the refinement in idealized positions (C-H = 1.08 Å, H-C-H =109.4°). 275 (1) and 397 (2) LS parameters. Weighting scheme $w = [\sigma^2(F) + gF^2]^{-1}$; g = 0.00026 (1) and 0.00068 (2). (1) R = 0.037, wR = 0.043, S = 3.68 for 2352 observed reflections. (2) R = 0.093, wR = 0.117, S = 3.97 for 2838 observed reflections. $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max}$ in final refinement cycle: (1) 0.08 [x of atom C(10)], (2) 1.06 [x of atom C(6B)]. Max. and min. heights in final Fourier synthesis 0.63, -0.29(1), 0.96, $-0.36 \text{ e} \text{ }^{A-3}$ (2). The high value of the R index in (2) is due to the poor quality of crystals. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).

Discussion. Final atomic parameters for the two structures are given in Tables 1 and 2.* A comparison of bond lengths and coordination distances is presented in Table 3 while bond and torsion angles in the macrocycles are listed in Table 4.

As can be seen from the stereoscopic views of each compound (Figs. 1 and 2) the geometry of the two complexes is very different. This is not surprising since it can be expected that only the smaller Mg²⁺ ion (effective ionic radii of Ca²⁺ 1.12 Å, of Mg²⁺ 0.72 Å) Table 1. Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$ for (1)

$$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	Bea
Ca	2267 (1)	1723 (1)	865 (1)	2.65 (1)
S(1)	288 (1)	5002 (1)	-1842 (1)	4.37 (2)
S(2)	3795 (1)	6925 (1)	660 (1)	5.48 (2)
O(1)	3315 (1)	1181 (2)	2494 (1)	4.30 (4)
O(2)	3861 (1)	1095 (3)	817 (2)	4.34 (4)
O(3)	2600 (2)	1203 (3)	-762 (1)	4.65 (4)
O(4)	1273 (1)	-263 (2)	-108 (1)	4.11 (4)
O(5)	2008 (1)	-765 (2)	1721 (1)	3.83 (4)
O(6)	1159 (2)	2247 (3)	1715 (2)	4.42 (5)
C(1)	4184 (2)	643 (5)	2467 (3)	5.68 (8)
C(2)	4476 (2)	1482 (5)	1676 (4)	6.11 (8)
C(3)	4070 (3)	1618 (5)	-71 (4)	6.36 (9)
C(4)	3460 (3)	809 (5)	-853 (3)	5.84 (8)
C(5)	1891 (3)	340 (5)	-1397 (2)	5.98 (8)
C(6)	1584 (3)	-972 (5)	-878 (3)	5.68 (8)
C(7)	871 (3)	-1381 (5)	451 (3)	6.16 (8)
C(8)	1519 (2)	-2027 (4)	1224 (3)	4.84 (7)
C(9)	2532 (3)	-1210 (4)	2620 (3)	5.16(7)
C(10)	2907 (3)	247 (5)	3117 (3)	5.42 (8)
C(11)	832 (2)	4115 (3)	-880 (2)	3.31 (5)
C(12)	3333 (2)	5346 (3)	954 (2)	3.73 (5)
N(2)	3004 (2)	4237 (3)	1165 (2)	5.70 (6)
N(1)	1224 (2)	3454 (3)	-228 (2)	4.94 (6)

(Shannon, 1976) can fit into the cavity of 15-crown-5 of approximate diameter 1.7–1.8 Å (Hilgenfeld & Saenger, 1982).

In complex (1), the Ca^{2+} cation has an irregular eight coordination which includes the five ether O atoms, two N of the thiocyanate anions and the O of the water molecule. The ether O atoms are located at the corners of a nearly regular skew pentagon; O...O distances range from 2.657 (2) to 2.738 (2) Å and the deviations from the mean plane through the five O atoms from 0.118 to 0.437 Å. The cation lies 1.189 Å out of this plane. This geometry is very similar to that observed for the complex of benzo-15-crown-5 with Ca(SCN), H₂O (Owen, 1978). Also the coordination distances are similar in both complexes, the average Ca...O values being 2.540 (2) Å as compared to 2.529 (9) Å in the benzo-15-crown-5 complex. However, the conformation of the macrocycle observed here is uncommon. The sequence of torsion angles $ag^{\mp}a \ ag^{\mp}a \ g^{\mp}g^{\mp}a \ g^{\mp}g^{\mp}a$ $ag^{\pm}g^{\pm*}$ indicates a triangular [348] conformation with C(5), C(7) and C(10) as corner atoms. To our knowledge, only quinquangular [33333] or [23343] conformations, the former with five $ag^{\pm}g^{\pm}$ units, as observed for the sandwich-type complex with BaBr, (Feneau-Dupont, Arte, Declercq, Germain & Van Meerssche, 1979), and a uniangular conformation, as observed for the NaSCN. $\frac{1}{2}$ H₂O complex (Groth, 1981), have been reported for 15-crown-5. In the complex of 15-crown-5 with CuCl₂ a uniangular conformation was also observed for the macrocycle, but the ether O atoms are not here directly coordinated to the cation (Arte.

*
$$g^+ = 0$$
 to 120° , $g^- = 0$ to -120° , $a = \pm 120$ to $\pm 180^\circ$.

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters, bond lengths and angles and mean-plane data have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 44395 (39 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic temperature factors (Å²) for (2)

$B_{eq} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$								
	Molecule A					Molecule B		
	x	у	z	B _{eq}	x	У	Ζ	Bea
Mg	0	0	0	3.75 (3)	5021 (2)	9890 (3)	10094 (3)	3.50 (3)
S(Ī)	-1560 (2)	-4930 (4)	-3856 (3)	6.70 (3)	3379 (2)	4963 (4)	6359 (3)	6.33 (3)
S(2)	1653 (2)	4889 (4)	3750 (3)	5.94 (3)	6593 (2)	14844 (4)	13966 (3)	5.57 (3)
N(I)	-639 (5)	-2342 (8)	-1502 (7)	6.12 (3)	4384 (4)	7532 (7)	8567 (7)	4.99 (3)
N(2)	659 (4)	2331 (7)	1593 (7)	4.80 (3)	5666 (4)	12255 (7)	11704 (7)	4-59 (3)
C(11)	-1067 (4)	-3482 (7)	-2487 (7)	3.44 (3)	3947 (4)	6444 (7)	7655 (7)	3.00 (3)
C(12)	1029 (5)	3371 (8)	2512 (8)	5.10 (3)	6010 (5)	13279 (8)	12647 (7)	4.58 (3)
0(1)	1040 (4)	-1629 (7)	-191 (7)	6.68 (3)	3976 (4)	11439 (7)	10306 (6)	5.27 (3)
0(2)	-396 (4)	-1572 (7)	2063 (7)	5.75 (3)	5437 (4)	11421 (7)	8030 (6)	6.19 (3)
O(3)	-1271 (4)	660 (7)	1448 (7)	6.27 (3)	6354 (4)	9395 (7)	8704 (7)	6.28 (3)
0(4)	-338(5)	2207 (8)	-1070 (7)	7.15 (3)	5349 (4)	7731 (6)	11126 (6)	5.62 (3)
O(5)	987 (5)	521 (8)	-2193 (7)	7.79 (3)	4032 (5)	9548 (7)	12426 (7)	7.10 (3)
C(I)	850 (6)	-2930 (9)	837 (8)	7.30 (3)	4081 (6)	12644 (9)	9237 (9)	7.98 (3)
C(2)	239 (6)	-2267 (9)	2434 (8)	7.24 (3)	4893 (6)	12602 (9)	7922 (8)	6.48 (3)
C(3)	-1042 (6)	-773 (9)	3351 (9)	8.19 (3)	6281 (6)	11650 (9)	7167 (8)	6.33 (3)
C(4)	-1608 (7)	159 (9)	2967 (8)	7.79 (3)	6666 (6)	9955 (9)	7166 (8)	7.07 (3)
C(5)	-1516 (6)	2367 (9)	1425 (8)	6.90 (3)	6620 (6)	7849 (9)	9059 (9)	7.60 (3)
C(6)	-1199 (6)	2464 (9)	-412 (8)	6.73 (3)	6258 (6)	7590 (9)	10792 (8)	7.40 (3)
C(7)	156 (6)	2317 (9)	-2669 (8)	7.20 (3)	5039 (6)	7851 (9)	12859 (8)	6.90 (3)
C(8)	1050 (6)	2055 (9)	-2937 (8)	6.58 (3)	4112 (6)	8253 (9)	13287 (9)	7.89 (3)
C(9)	1776 (6)	-117 (9)	-2581 (8)	7.37 (3)	3280 (6)	10288 (9)	12660 (9)	8.35 (3)
C(10)	1798 (7)	-1272 (9)	1367 (9)	9.44 (3)	3493 (6)	12002 (9)	11937 (8)	6.82 (3)

Table 3. Bond lengths and coordination distances (Å)

		(2)	(2) $M = Mg^{2+}$		
	(1) $M = Ca^{2+}$	Molecule A	Molecule E		
C(1)-O(1)	1.433 (4)	1.363 (9)	1.357 (10)		
C(10) - O(1)	1.439 (4)	1.369 (10)	1.393 (8)		
C(2)-O(2)	1.427 (5)	1.368 (12)	1.382 (11)		
C(3) - O(2)	1.444 (5)	1.391 (9)	1.352 (10)		
C(4)-O(3)	1.409 (4)	1.356 (9)	1.387 (9)		
C(5)-O(3)	1.470 (5)	1.375 (10)	1.400 (11)		
C(6)-O(4)	1.426 (4)	1.382 (11)	1.432 (11)		
C(7)–O(4)	1.468 (4)	1.381 (9)	1.397 (8)		
C(8)O(5)	1.424 (4)	1.373 (10)	1.364 (11)		
C(9)-O(5)	1.420 (4)	1.376 (12)	1.377 (12)		
C(2) - C(1)	1.487 (6)	1.476 (10)	1.470 (11)		
C(4) - C(3)	1.476 (6)	1.388 (15)	1.485 (12)		
C(6)-C(5)	1.480 (6)	1.509 (10)	1.464 (10)		
C(8)-C(7)	1-438 (5)	1.441 (13)	1.512 (14)		
C(10)-C(9)	1-494 (6)	1.485 (12)	1.442 (10)		
N(1)–C(11)	1.149 (4)	1.227 (9)	1.183 (8)		
N(2)–C(12)	1 - 148 (4)	1.113 (9)	1.099 (8)		
C(11)–S(1)	1.641 (3)	1.579 (6)	1.614 (6)		
C(12)S(2)	1.628 (3)	1.646 (7)	1.663 (7)		
O(1)···· <i>M</i>	2.585 (2)	2.200 (7)	2.165 (7)		
O(2)···M	2.546 (2)	2.189 (6)	2.170 (6)		
O(3)···M	2.524 (2)	2.168 (6)	2.208 (7)		
O(4)··· <i>M</i>	2.512 (2)	2.239 (7)	2.179 (7)		
O(5)···M	2.532 (2)	2.142 (6)	2.202 (4)		
O(6)w…M	2.347 (2)				
N(1)··· <i>M</i>	2.490 (3)	2.054 (6)	2.071 (6)		
N(2)··· <i>M</i>	2.435 (3)	2.107 (5)	2.117 (6)		

Table 4. Bond angles (°) and torsion angles (°) [$\sigma = 1^{\circ}$ for (1) and 3° for (2)] in 15-crown-5

			(2)	
	(1)	Molecule A	Molecule B	
C(10) - O(1) - C(1)	112.1 (3)	126-9 (8)	116.6 (6)	
C(3) - O(2) - C(2)	116.8 (3)	120-2 (6)	119.2 (6)	
C(5)-O(3)-C(4)	115.0 (3)	111-1 (6)	122.4 (6)	
C(7)O(4)C(6)	113.1 (3)	127.9 (8)	105.6 (7)	
C(9)-O(5)-C(8)	113.0 (2)	111.2 (6)	124.3 (6)	
C(2)-C(1)-O(1)	107.6 (3)	111.2 (6)	113.9 (8)	
C(1)C(2)O(2)	106.6 (3)	108-0 (7)	114-9 (6)	
C(4)C(3)O(2)	107.0 (3)	119-3 (7)	108.8 (6)	
C(3)-C(4)-O(3)	106.7 (3)	110-8 (7)	110-4 (6)	
C(6)-C(5)-O(3)	110-6 (3)	102.0 (5)	113.5 (6)	
C(5)–C(6)–O(4)	105.0 (3)	113.8 (8)	105-4 (8)	
C(8)–C(7)–O(4)	111-1 (3)	114-1 (8)	106-8 (7)	
C(7)–C(8)–O(5)	107.7 (3)	104.5 (6)	111.9 (6)	
C(10)-C(9)-O(5)	107.2 (3)	110.9 (6)	109.3 (7)	
C(9)-C(10)-O(1)	110-4 (3)	116.6 (9)	105.7 (5)	
C(10)-O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	170	151	151	
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-O(2)	60	43	-3	
C(1)-C(2)-O(2)-C(3)	-172	-177	-164	
C(2)-O(2)-C(3)-C(4)	168	172	-167	
O(2)-C(3)-C(4)-O(3)	58	-19	-38	
C(3)-C(4)-O(3)-C(5)	-172	-147	-179	
C(4) - O(3) - C(5) - C(6)	99	-172	176	
O(3)-C(5)-C(6)-O(4)	60	-50	45	
C(5)-C(6)-O(4)-C(7)	175	-176	-169	
C(6)-O(4)-C(7)-C(8)	89	178	173	
O(4) - C(7) - C(8) - O(5)	50	43	-40	
C(7)-C(8)-O(5)-C(9)	167	170	-175	
C(8) = O(5) = C(9) = C(10)	-172	161	163	
O(5)-C(9)-C(10)-O(1)	-50	5	50	
C(9)-C(10)-O(1)-C(1)	81	167	165	

Feneau-Dupont, Declercq, Germain & Van Meerssche, 1979). As will be discussed below, the conformations of the two independent 15-crown-5 molecules of the $Mg(SCN)_2$ complex are also very different from that of the Ca(SCN)_2 complex.

The structure of the $Mg(SCN)_2$ complex (2) offers the opportunity to compare the two independent complex subunits. At first sight they do not appear to

differ very much. In both subunits the coordination polyhedron is a regular pentagonal bipyramid with the N atoms of the anions in axial positions (Table 3). The O···O ether oxygen distances range from 2.531 (9) to 2.596 (8) Å with an average value of 2.574 (7) Å for molecule A and from 2.538 (8) to 2.609 (8) Å with an average of 2.575 (8) Å for B.

The ether O atoms are coplanar in each macrocycle and the Mg^{2+} cation lies only 0.02 Å out of the mean planes (Roberts & Sheldrick, 1975). The coordination distances [average value 2.188 (6) Å for A, 2.185 (6) Å for B] are as expected from the ionic radii. This complex bears a striking resemblance to that of



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic views of (1): (a) top view and atom numbering, (b) side view (PLUTO, Motherwell & Clegg, 1978).



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic views of (2) (molecule A): (a) top view and atom numbering, (b) side view (PLUTO, Motherwell & Clegg, 1978).

benzo-15-crown-5 with $Mg(SCN)_2$ (Owen, 1978). It is noteworthy that only 15-crown-5 is able to complex the Mg^{2+} cation directly, presumably because its diameter matches the cavity size. In the 12-crown-4.MgCl₂ (Neuman, Steiner, van Remoortere & Boer, 1975) and 18-crown-6.Mg(SCN)₂ (Wei, Tinant, Declercq, Van Meerssche & Dale, 1988b) complexes the Mg²⁺ cation forms a hydrate which is held in the lattice by hydrogen bonds to the crown ether.

What is different between the two subunits A and B is the conformation of the macrocycle as expressed by the exact positions of the CH₂ C atoms. For both rings the torsion-angle sequence is characterized by only $ag^{\pm}a$ units, *i.e.* without any corner.

If all bonds had been kept close to staggered (+60 or 180°), such a sequence would not be expected for topological reasons to close a ring structure with an odd number of ring atoms unless one of the anti bonds were changed into gauche to produce a corner, resulting then in a uniangular conformation (Dale, 1976). Actually, in subunit B one bond [C(1)-C(2)] is practically eclipsed (-3°) , and this conformation can be viewed as a transition state in the interconversion between two uniangular conformations having their single corner at C(1) and C(2) respectively (Dale, 1976). The remaining four gauche bonds have the expected sign alternation. In benzo-15-crown-5 the eclipsed position is of course imposed by the aromatic unit, and this will then be the stable conformation not only in its Mg²⁺ complex, as observed by Owen (1978), but also in the free ligand. In subunit A the situation is further complicated by the presence of two nearly eclipsed bonds [C(9)-C(10) and C(3)-C(4)], and in the longer chain between them the two gauche bonds show the expected sign alternation.

There are no obvious linkages between the complex units in either of the two compounds.

YYW, BT, J-PD and MVM thank the SPPS, Belgium, for financial support.

References

Arte, E., Feneau-Dupont, J., Declercq, J.-P., Germain, G. & Van Meerssche, M. (1979). *Acta Cryst.* B35, 1215–1217.

BEURSKENS, P. T., BOSMAN, W. P., DOESBURG, H. M., GOULD, R. O., VAN DEN HARK, TH. E. M., PRICK, P. A. J., NOORDIK, J. H., BEURSKENS, G. & PARTHASARATHI, V. (1987). DIRDIF. Direct methods for difference structures. Tech. Rep. 1981/2. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

DALE, J. (1976). Top. Sterochem. 9, 199-210.

- Feneau-Dupont, J., Arte, E., Declerco, J.-P., Germain, G. & Van Meerssche, M. (1979). *Acta Cryst.* B35, 1217–1220.
- GROTH, P. (1981). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 35, 721-724.
- HILGENFELD, R. & SAENGER, W. (1982). Top. Curr. Chem. 101, 1-82.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)

- MOTHERWELL, W. D. S., & CLEGG, W. (1978). *PLUTO*. Program for plotting crystal and molecular structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- NEUMAN, M. A., STEINER, E. C., VAN REMOORTERE, F. P. & BOER, F. P. (1975). *Inorg. Chem.* 14, 734–740.
- OWEN, J. D. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1418-1423. ROBERTS, P. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1975). XANADU. Program for
- crystallographic calculations. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

SHANNON, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751–767.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 77-80

- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRÜGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1988a). Acta Cryst. C44, 68–73.
- WEI, Y. Y., TINANT, B., DECLERCQ, J.-P., VAN MEERSSCHE, M. & DALE, J. (1988b). Acta Cryst. C44, 77–80.

Crown Ether Complexes of Alkaline-Earth Metal Ions. III. Structures of 1,4,7,10,13,16-Hexaoxacyclooctadecane (18-Crown-6) Complexed with Magnesium and Barium Thiocyanates

BY YUN YI WEI, BERNARD TINANT, JEAN-PAUL DECLERCQ AND MAURICE VAN MEERSSCHE

Laboratoire de Chimie physique et de Cristallographie, Université de Louvain, Bâtiment Lavoisier, place Louis Pasteur 1, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

AND JOHANNES DALE

Kjemisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Oslo 3, Norway

(Received 7 April 1987; accepted 15 September 1987)

Abstract. (1): 1,4,7,10,13,16-Hexaoxacyclooctadecane-magnesium thiocyanate tetrahydrate, $C_{12}H_{24}$ - $O_6 Mg(SCN)_2 H_2O$, $M_r = 476.85$, orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$, a = 9.225 (2), b = 19.256 (7), c = $V = 2357 (1) \text{ Å}^3$, 13·267 (4) Å, Z = 4, $D_r =$ 1.34 g cm^{-3} , $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$, $\mu = 2.99 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 1016, T = 291 K, R = 0.041 for 1279 observed reflections. (2): 1,4,7,10,13,16-Hexaoxacyclooctadecane-barium thiocyanate monohydrate, C₁₂H₂₄- O_6 ·Ba(SCN)₂·H₂O, $M_r = 535 \cdot 84$, monoclinic, $P2_1$, a $= 8.030 (3), \quad b = 17.304 (11), \quad c = 8.551 (7) \text{ Å}, \quad \beta = 17.304 (11), \quad c = 17.304 (11), \quad \beta = 17.304$ $V = 1111 (1) Å^3,$ $110.78(5)^{\circ}$, Z=2, $D_r =$ 1.60 g cm^{-3} , $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$, $\mu = 20.55 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 536, T = 291 K, R = 0.021 for 1582 observed reflections. The Mg²⁺ ion is not bonded directly to the ether O atoms, but complex (1) involves Mg(SCN)₂.4H₂O units that are hydrogen-bonded through the water molecules to the O atoms of the crown ether. The Ba^{2+} ion is coordinated to the six O atoms of the crown ether, to the two N atoms of the anions on one side and to the O of the water molecule on the opposite side. The cation is displaced 0.59 Å from the mean plane of the hexaether. In both molecular (1) and ionic (2) complexes the 18-crown-6 possesses approximate D_{3d} symmetry.

Introduction. As part of a systematic analysis of the structures of crown ethers complexed with alkalineearth thiocyanates (Wei, Tinant, Declercq, Van

0108-2701/88/010077-04\$03.00

Meerssche & Dale, 1988), we report here the structures of two new 18-crown-6 compounds: the tetrahydrated $C_{12}H_{24}O_6$.Mg(SCN)₂ (1) and the monohydrated $C_{12}H_{24}O_6$.Ba(SCN)₂ complexes.

Experimental. Both crystals obtained by slow evaporation from water. Crystal sizes: (1) $0.30 \times$ 0.15×0.20 , (2) $0.288 \times 0.160 \times 0.144$ mm. Lattice parameters refined using 15 reflections in the range $5 \le 2\theta \le 25^{\circ}$. Syntex $P2_1$ diffractometer, graphitemonochromatized Mo Ka radiation, ω scan width 1.3°, scan rate $1.3-30^{\circ} \text{ min}^{-1}$, $[(\sin\theta)/\lambda]_{\text{max}} = 0.561 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. For (1) 1648 independent hkl reflections measured $(0 \le h \le 10, 0 \le k \le 21, 0 \le l \le 14), 1279$ observed [criterion $I \ge 2.5\sigma(I)$]. For (2) 1700 $hk \pm l$ measured $(0 \le h \le 9, 0 \le k \le 19, -9 \le l \le 8), 1582$ observed. Standard reflections 062 (1) and $24\overline{3}$ (2) checked every 50 reflections: no significant deviation. Absorption corrections: none for (1), numerical corrections for crystal defined by its faces for (2) using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976); max./min. transmission 0.817, 0.704. Structure solution: position of Mg and S atoms (1), Ba (2) from an $E \times F$ Patterson map (SHELXS86, Sheldrick, 1985); the remaining non-H atoms from DIRDIF (Beurskens, Bosman, Doesburg, Gould, Van den Hark, Prick, Noordik, Beurskens & Parthasarathi, Anisotropic least-squares 1981). refinement (SHELX76, Sheldrick, 1976) using F. H atoms of three water molecules in (1) and of the crown ether except

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography